

PUBLICATION ETHICS

The editorial board of “Vestnik of Moscow State Linguistic University” is guided by the international rules of ethics while selecting, reviewing and preparing publications. It relies on the legislation of the Russian Federation in terms of copyright and plagiarism, as well as the ethical principles accepted by the international community and registered in the principles and documents of the Committee on Publication Ethics (Committee on Publication Ethics, COPE) and the Association of the Scientific Editors and Publishers (the ASEPP).

Ethics of the journal editorial board

The editor-in-chief or any editorial staff must not disclose the author’s personal data and their research results at any stage of review and publication. The editorial board has the right to decline an article at the stage previous to review, if the article does not correspond to the topics of the journal, does not follow the journal policies and submission requirements, breaks the ethical rules (copyright infringement and plagiarism), or does not contain the UDC.

To make editorial decisions the editor-in-chief invites qualified referees to review an article. The editors should preserve the anonymity of the reviewers.

The editors should take into consideration the comments of the author whose paper has been accepted and should be ready to make corrections in the text at the author’s request. In case of conflict the editorial board should be ready to offer clarifications and apologies if needed.

Research ethics

The submitted manuscript should be original and related to a topical issue. It should include the accurate description of the original research, plausible methods and analyses, results, and conclusions. The background part of the article should be closely related to the research question and contain sufficient amount of references to previous studies of the issue.

The manuscript may be rejected by the editorial board before the peer-review stage if it provides knowingly inaccurate or misleading data or statements. The article is declined if it contains quotations, text passages, tables, pictures, graphics, and schemes without reference or copyright owner's agreement. Incorrect borrowing is considered to be unacceptable.

The manuscripts submitted for publication are obligatory examined through the “ANTIPLAGIARISM” and/or “DISSERNET” systems. The originality ratio should not be lower than 80%, the citation ratio should not be higher than 20% even in case of the author’s own materials published earlier, such as articles, theses, theses abstracts, monographs. Incorrect borrowing and plagiarism can be detected after the article’s publication (for example, at the readers’ request), which will lead to the retraction of the article.

Author’s ethics

The author of an article can be a person contributing to the methodology and data collection, interpretation of the results and preparation of the manuscript. The work may be co-authored provided that the name of the main researcher is given first. This person is responsible for editorial communication and for the written consent of the co-authors to submit the manuscript for publication.

In accordance with the federal law from 27.07.2006 №152-ФЗ “On personal data” the authors give their consent to MSLU to personal data processing including collection, systematization, accumulation, storage, update (clarification, change), use and deletion of the personal data provided by the author(s). After the manuscript is accepted for publishing in the journals of “Vestnik of Moscow State Linguistic University”, the authors sign an agreement with MSLU under which they preserve the right of authorship and transfer the publishing rights

(including the use and distribution of an article in the Open Access) to MSLU. The authors have the right to use all the materials from the article in their future publications with a reference to the journal.

Reviewer's ethics

The review is a reviewer's original work and does not infringe the intellectual property rights of others (including breach of copyright, trademark, and/or privacy).

The reviewer agrees to fulfill the work if they have sufficient time to do this at a high quality level.

The review should be based on the research under consideration. It should not contain any form of plagiarism, or large sections of content copied from other works. If mentioning of some information is necessary, it is recommended to add it as a reference.

Criticism should be constructive, focused on the research, contain evidence-based information (with citations), recommendations for improving the current work and future research.

The review should not contain excessive emotional language, or any unlawful information, i.e. words or phrases that may be considered unlawful, defamatory, abusive, racially or sexually offensive or obscene. Reviewers should refrain from advertising for commercial products and services of all kind.

The review should not disclose conflicts of interest and informs the editorial board in such a case. The reviewer does not use the information for their personal or commercial purposes.

The reviewer's conclusion is based on their unbiased attitude towards the author of the article, irrespective of their gender, age, religion and other characteristics.

Ethics and conflict of interest

The author (co-authors) are obliged to state financial or professional conflicts of interest which might have influenced the accomplished research results. Financial support for the research (or part of it), financial reward for the participation in the research, or a fee from an organization interested in the research are referred to as conflict of interest.

The information about conflicts of interest received from the author is not provided to the reviewer but is published together with the full text of the article.